Cosmology with Type Ia supernovae and Gravitational Lensing

Suhail Dhawan, on behalf of Cosmology WG

ZTF meeting, Seattle, 05 September 2019

### Outline

- Motivation for low-z SNe~Ia
  - Expansion history: Dark energy and H<sub>o</sub>
  - Growth of structure: Bulk Flows and  $f\sigma_8$

- Update on 1.5 year sample
  - Summary statistics and light curve
  - Preliminary Hubble diagram
- Lensed SNe
  - Lessons from iPTF16geu
  - Image stacking for ZTF lensed SNe

# **SN cosmology: low-z calibration**





- Heterogeneous low-z sample
- Low-z size << high-z; weighted higher
- ZTF improves upon status quo
  - Homogeneous sample
  - Larger statistics (10 X current)

### Low z Anchor : State of the art

| Table 4. |        |          |  |  |
|----------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Sample   | Number | Mean $z$ |  |  |
| CSP      | 26     | 0.024    |  |  |
| CFA3     | 78     | 0.031    |  |  |
| CFA4     | 41     | 0.030    |  |  |
| CFA1     | 9      | 0.024    |  |  |
| CFA2     | 18     | 0.021    |  |  |
| SDSS     | 335    | 0.202    |  |  |
| PS1      | 279    | 0.292    |  |  |



Pantheon Sample (Scolnic et al. 2018) Total 1048 SNIa

#### 172 SNIa from CFA1, CFA2, CFA3, CFA4, CSP



Dark Energy Survey (Abbott et al. 2019) Total 251 SNIa from DES

122 SNIa from CFA3 CFA4, CSP

# Hubble tension: Role of SNe~la

- Distance ladder; Cepheid has been tested extensively
- Hubble flow (0.023 < z < 0.15): Heterogenous
  - Several different telescope/instrument combinations
- Environment bias: Largest systematic for SNe (Scolnic+ 2019)
- ZTF will be a crucial, untargeted sample





# **ZTF: A unique sample of SNe la**

- ZTF is untargeted: Survey all SN Ia host types
  - Unlike, e.g. CSP (red points)
- Important for SN host H<sub>o</sub> inference
- Cross-check for selection bias
  - Non-uniform Hubble flow sample
  - Even Foundation is follow-up only
- Complete SN sample
  - Common ZTF goal
  - Photometric classification
- SN environment correlation



# **Growth of Structure**

- In addition to distances, we can use peculiar velocities
- Measure growth of structure from velocity correlations
- Independent probe of  $\sigma_8$  tension
- Require ~1000 SNe (statistically equivalent to ~15000 galaxies)
- Large coherent motion (bulk flow) in ZTF volume could contradict ∧CDM structure formation







### **Updates with ZTF data**

# **Science with Early Discovery**

- Unique among cosmology samples, almost all SNe Ia were discovered more than a week before peak
  - Can use early lightcurve to estimate probability of transient being SN Ia.
  - Standardization by separate rise and fall time can improve standardization (see Hayden et al. 2019)



Discovery phases for SNe Ia. Credits: U. Feindt

# **ZTF SN la Hosts | Preliminary**

- Host properties => impact DE/H0 constraints
- Underlying cause unknown
  - Different dust properties?
  - Intrinsic 56Ni difference?
- SDSS ugriz photometry fitted
  - LePHARE for fitting 394 hosts
  - Pan-STARRS to increase sample
- Symmetric distribution in mass
  - Low-z data is asymmetric (e.g. Kim et al. 2019)
  - Important to test selection effects



# Photometry

- Cosmology impact and legacy tied to photometry systematics
- Show that a sequence of potential effects are subdominant:
  Readout, flatfield, extraction, subtraction etc
- Requires repeated data reprocessing, not possible currently
  - Long term solution required. Parallel pipeline?
  - Necessary: Having a tool *we* could use to reprocess data
  - **Urgent:** re-doing (i) reference images having SN light.
- Yr 1 cosmology papers might use post-processing corrections
  No path to demonstrate photometric quality for these

# Year 1 SNIa base sample

- ZTF SNIa fulfilling basic lightcurve quality cuts
  - Not considered "cosmology" yet
  - 479 SNe in total (314 with i-band)
- Size determined by:
  - Reference reprocessing and i-band lightcurves
  - Host galaxy redshifts (e.g. DESI SV)
- To be used for a series of papers

# Year 1 SNIa lightcurves



MSIP+i-band

High-cadence

# **SN in i-band reference**



# **SN in reference**



Times of last image used in reference images

#### SNIa with good references in different bands

| Criteria                             | Number of SN |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| In sample                            | 1056         |
| Good references in at least one band | 964          |
| Good references in g band            | 843          |
| Good references in r band            | 931          |
| Good references in i band            | 336          |

Good references : images in references taken at least 30 days before SN peak (SALT t0).

# **Preliminary Hubble diagram**



- Early discovery • Gold sample (Yao et al., submitted)
- Limited by host-z

Scatter high • • • 0.12 mag known

# Year 1 spectra: SEDm

Type Ia Spectral analysis:

- Typing/subtyping
- Spectral evolution w phase
- Correlation spectral features
  - Host

- LC

#### SEDm (mainly) + any other spectra

[cross WG analysis: SEDm & Cosmo & SN & RCF]



Rigault et al. in prep

### iPTF16geu: First resolved lensed SN la

- Short time-delays (~ 1 day)
- Resolved photometry begin late ( > 2 weeks after max)
- Extremely high magnification ( > 65 times)
- Evidence for microlensing

#### Dhawan et al. 2019, submitted; Figure credits: Joel Johansson







# Lensed SNe with ZTF

- H<sub>o</sub> from time-delays (3-4% per object) O ZTF is expected to **discover** a few lensed SNe per year
  - **Resolved** (A.O) follow-up required; have VLT/Gemini 0 time for optical/NIR



# Image Stack: lensed and high-z SNe



# Paper plans

#### Expansion history:

- Low-z anchor (Goobar)
- SEDM SNeIa (Rigault)
- SN selection: Impact on H<sub>o</sub> (Dhawan)
- Properties of complete SN samples (Nicolas, Biswas, Kim)
  - Lightcurve properties
  - Host properties

#### Growth of structure:

- Bulk flow, directional H<sub>o</sub> (Brinnel, Amenouche)
- Amplitude of fixed power spectrum (Brinnel)
- Combining SN velocities and densities for cosmology (Graziani)
- Void dispersion (Nordin)
- ZTF Phase II and LSST outlook (Graziani)

# **Summary and Outlook**

- ZTF: Ideal sample for completeness/testing biases
- Early discovery: New standardization procedures
- Untargeted survey
  - Test of SN environment systematics
- Year 1 SEDm spectra study
- Preliminary Hubble diagram
  - Understand systematics better from calibration
- iPTF16geu: Extremely high magnification
  - Lensed SNe to measure H<sub>o</sub>
  - Image stack improves distance X 2

# **Unbiased SN sample**

#### Comparison with AMPEL alert rerun. Here last month:



#### We find:

- Bright transients with SN like lightcurves not in RCF/Cosm.
- Fainter transients that are compatible w. SNe
- Potential photo-SN for DESI SV sample

### **Yrl SNIa lightcurves**



### Year 1 spectra: Other sources

- SNIFS @ UH88 for MSIP targets ~ 20 nights with ~ 10 SNe
- ePESSTO time
- DESI for host galaxy redshifts

# Yr<u>1 S</u>NIa lightcurves



On epochs before 30 days preceding SALT t0

# Calibration systematics: Impact on cosmology





# Paper plans II

- Low-z anchor (Goobar)
- SEDM SNeIa (Rigault, see slide 12)
- Hubble parameter (Dhawan)
- Properties of complete SN samples (Nicolas, Biswas, Kim)
  - Lightcurve properties
  - Host properties

[Do we have some preliminary sample plot to use??] [MR: Y.L Kim is going one.]





### Plans for the coming year

#### Outline

- I. ZTF Legacy Surveys (BTS, CLU)
- 2. Early Observations of SNe (la, CC)
- 3. SN la Cosmology
- 4. Unusual CC SNe (Stockholm, Weizmann)

### **SN la Cosmology**

# **BTS (Bright Transient Survey)**

We are successfully classifying (almost) every bright (m<18.5) supernova in the Palomar sky!

> Most productive SN survey ever (per TNS statistics)

Statistical samples of every SN type from

| Mag limit | # SNe | % classified |
|-----------|-------|--------------|
| 17        | 116   | 99.1 %       |
| 17.5      | 207   | 99.0 %       |
| 18        | 397   | 96 %         |
| 18.5      | 743   | 93 %         |
| 19        | 1234  | 82 %         |

(considers events with good light curve coverage and SN-like rise and fall times)

| 786 SNe la  | (3 Ia-CSM, 2 Ia-x)                 |
|-------------|------------------------------------|
| 243 SNe II  | (19 IIb, 40 IIn, 13 SLSN-IIn)      |
| 65 SNe Ib/c | (7 lbn, 9 lc-BL, 15 SLSN-lc)       |
| 6 TDE       | (2 "hyperluminous")                |
| 7 "other"   | (1 FBOT, 3 LBV, 1 ILRT, 1 Ca-rich) |
|             |                                    |

Find reaching exertion galaxy-catalog redshift completeness study (Fremling, Miller et al.) is approaching completion.



SN Ibn

TDE

#### **ZTF Legacy Surveys:**

### Bright Transient Survey (BTS)

Census of the Local Universe (CLU)

#### SLSNe: 73 and counting



#### SLSN diversity: fast event, red/dusty event



# Census of the local universe (CLU)

A systematic, volume-limited experiment to find transients coincident with galaxies in the local universe (< 200 Mpc)



# **CLU Classifications**



Classification completeness > 85% down to 20.5 mag

Limited by moon phase and targets found too close to sun



# **Faint and fast transients**



Increasing sample of Ca-rich and other faint / fast evolving transients

### ZTFI9aadyppr: An intermediate-luminosity red transient in M51









#### Early Observations of Supernovae:

### la and Core-Collapse

#### Automated discovery and follow-up

#### **Previous low-z SNe la samples**

| Sample       | # SNe Ia | Time span | Redshift range | Early fraction | # ops nights | Bands       |
|--------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| SDSS-II      | 327      | 2005-2007 | 0.037–0.4      | 33%            | 9            | ugriz       |
| CfA3         | 185      | 2011-2008 | 0.005–0.085    | 5%             | 12           | BVRIr'i'    |
| CfA4         | 94       | 2006-2011 | 0.055-0.073    | 5%             | 16           | (u'U)BVr'i' |
| LOSS         | 165      | 1998-2008 | 0.002-0.095    | 19%            | 21           | BVRI        |
| CSP-I        | 134      | 2004-2009 | 0.0037-0.0835  | 10%            | 28           | uBgVriYJH   |
| CSP-II       | 214      | 2011-2015 | 0.004-0.137    | 10%            | 25           | uBgVriYJH   |
| PTF/iPTF     | 265      | 2009-2014 | 0.0007-0.409   | 65%            | 35           | R           |
| Foundation-I | 225      | 2015-2017 | 0.004-0.11     | 10%            | 7            | grizy       |
| TESS-2018    | 18       | 2018      | 0.0163-0.09    | 89%            | 20           | I           |

The fraction of SNe with first detection prior to 10 days before maximum light

 $\star$ 

#### **ZTF & Previous low-z SNe la samples**

Yao (2019, submitted)

| Sample       | # SNe Ia | Time span | Redshift range | Early fraction | # ops nights | Bands       |
|--------------|----------|-----------|----------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|
| SDSS-II      | 327      | 2005-2007 | 0.037–0.4      | 33%            | 9            | ugriz       |
| CfA3         | 185      | 2011-2008 | 0.005-0.085    | 5%             | 12           | BVRIr'i'    |
| CfA4         | 94       | 2006-2011 | 0.055-0.073    | 5%             | 16           | (u'U)BVr'i' |
| LOSS         | 165      | 1998-2008 | 0.002-0.095    | 19%            | 21           | BVRI        |
| CSP-I        | 134      | 2004-2009 | 0.0037-0.0835  | 10%            | 28           | uBgVriYJH   |
| CSP-II       | 214      | 2011-2015 | 0.004-0.137    | 10%            | 25           | uBgVriYJH   |
| PTF/iPTF     | 265      | 2009-2014 | 0.0007-0.409   | 65%            | 35           | R           |
| Foundation-I | 225      | 2015-2017 | 0.004-0.11     | 10%            | 7            | grizy       |
| TESS-2018    | 18       | 2018      | 0.0163-0.09    | 89%            | 20           | 1           |
| ZTF-2018     | 127      | 2018      | 0.0182-0.0164  | 100%           | 43           | gr          |



Yao (2019, submitted)



#### Early LC modeling

- 2018fif (ZTF18abokyfk) was discovered close to first light and followed up by SWIFT
- It is a good candidate for testing shock cooling models (Sapir & Waxman 2017)
- The main challenge: the temporal validity window of these models depends on the parameters of the models!
- the progenitor of SN2018fif was a large red supergiant, with a radius of R=1174 <sup>+208</sup><sub>-81</sub> solar radii, and an ejected mass of M=5.6 <sup>+9.1</sup><sub>-1</sub> solar masses. Larger than previously modeld object.
- The code SOPRANOS will become available to the community on October 15 and is available now upon requests.

Soumagnac et al. 2019 (submitted to ApJ)





First fully autonomous optical transient follow-up



#### Young SNII w. flash features at z=0.01815



# AmpelRapid

<u>Developments coming after first trigger:</u>

- Faster follow-up.
  First trigger revealed delays both at AMPEL and SEDM. (~2h)
- Better Growth Marshal integration. AMPEL SEDM triggers not automatically visible.
- Gradually relaxed trigger requirements. In next phase from ~1/month to ~1/week
- Improved integration with InfantSN program. Alerting scanners of "almost" automatic triggers.

#### From Stockholm:

#### Stripped-envelope core-collapse supernovae

#### Sorry we could not come!

















• Supernovae Type Ibn – A detailed study of SN2018bcc (Emir) and a full ZTF sample (Erik)

• Rise times of SNe Type Ic, a ZTF sample (Cristina & master student Patrik Moquist)

• A SN Ic with a weird spectral sequence (Leonardo)

• Another SN Ic with the funniest light curve (Cristina)

#### SNe Ibn

#### Stripped envelope supernovae with narrow Helium lines





og  $\chi^2$ 

#### SN IC 🚆

SPECTROSCOPY

PHOTOMETRY

**OVERVIEW** 





#### Weird LC SN

OBSERVAE





Another strange Ic(?). Spectra get bluer with time!



X shooter spectrum from VLT of qqr, 3000-22000 Å



#### SN Ic rise time study

Rise times, explosion dates, peak mags, rise shape.... For a ZTF sample







2.45828 2.4583 2.45832 2.45834 2.45836 × 10<sup>8</sup>



2.4583 2.45834 2.45538  $\times 10^{6}$ 



2,45836 2,4584 2.46844  $\times 10^8$ 



#### 2.4588 2.45885 $\times 10^{6}$



2.45825 2.4583 2.45835 2.4584 2.45845



2.4583 2.45835 2.4584 2.45845 2.4585 × 10<sup>6</sup>



×10<sup>6</sup>



22 .

2.45852

2.45858 2.4558 x 10<sup>6</sup>



18

20

22



2.4583 2.45832 2.45834 2.45838 2.45838  $\times 10^{6}$ 

ZTE Babkingy

ZTF 18abecbks

× 10<sup>8</sup>

2.4585 2.45854

× 10<sup>8</sup>





#### From Weizmann:

#### Unusual core-collapse SNe

#### CCSNe in Elliptical Hosts

- Motivated by the study of PTF16hil, a Type II at the outskirts of an elliptical host, and with strong limits on any underlying host.
- These are rare cases, since elliptical galaxies are old environments with low SFR.
- Other cases in the past include Abell399 11 19 0 (Type II), and PS-12sk (Type Ibn)



Irani et al. 2019

#### CCSNe in Elliptical Hosts

- We are currently attempting to systematically study such events
- Hosts can be separated into
  - Those with residual SF
  - O Non SF hosts
- First candidate in ZTF confirmed (ZTF19abnhqlg) showing a hot continuum (so not Ia!).



ZTF19abnhqlg



#### ZTF18acgvgiq (SN 2018fru)

• A Type II with a multi-peaked light curve

Long lasting (about a year)

Non trivial color evolution

Slow evolving spectrum

• Reminiscent of PTF14hls



#### SNe lln

- We performed the the first Ultra-Violet (UV) survey of type IIn supernovae (SNe IIn)
- 12 SNe IIn discovered and observed with ZTF+Swift
- UV can tell a lot about the physical mecanisms at stake + better estimate of bolometric luminosity
- Here we used the sample to constrain the geometry of the CSM (link to the progenitor!)
- **Results**: at least 35% of SNe Iin show evidence for aspherical CSM! (conservative lower limit)



Soumagnac et al. 2019 (on its way to the publication