

ADVANCED LIG FIRST LIGHT

ASTROPHYSICS IN THE AGE OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVE OBSERVATORIES



LEO P. SINGER / NASA Postdoctoral Fellow

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center <u>leo.p.singer@nasa.gov</u>

GW150914: first light



Surprising properties...
 Masses: 36 + 29 → 62 M_☉
 3 M_☉ radiated in GWs!

much heavier than BHs known in Xray binaries → low-metallicity formation scenario

Spins weakly constrained, but **nowhere near maximal**: $<0.7 + <0.9 \rightarrow ~0.6$

- Distance: ~400±200 Mpc, z~0.09
- Stringent tests of general relativity... Best ever measurement of graviton mass: $\lambda_g > 10^{13}$ km, $m_g < 10^{-22}$ eV

What will we find in the next year of LIGO observation?



- Based on O1:
 ~10 BBHs by O2, ~100 by O3 (!!)
- History of stellar BH masses and spins through cosmic time
 - → Compare with pop. synth to indirectly deduce host properties (though small lever arm of z~0.1–0.3)
- Much *more* exciting: asymmetric masses, spin precession, binary neutron star and neutron star-black hole mergers

The future is *bright!*



Berger E. 2014. Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys. 52:43–105

Understanding **the full astrophysical richness of compact binaries** will take not just LIGO, but the broad astronomy community across many wavelengths!

- EM counterparts of LIGO sources
- Central engine vs. external fireball and ejecta
- **Pinpoint host galaxy**, determine formation environment
- **Standard sirens**: Calibrationfree rung on cosmological distance ladder
- Explain cosmic abundance of heavy elements – "bling nova"
- Explain nature of short GRBs
- ...and (uh oh): challenge whether stellar BBHs are truly barren of matter!

GRB 130603B: 21 X-ray • F606W a smoking gun • F160W 22 \mathbf{T} 23 \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} 24 <u>Tanvir+ 2013</u> AB magnitude 25 ļ 26 1.6 µm 27 28 29 **10**⁴ 10⁵ 10⁶ Time since GRB 130603B (s)

GRB 060614: a short GRB in disguise?





GRB 130603B: **a smoking gun**





Time since GRB 130603B (s)

TO FIND NEUTRON STAR MERGERS, **look no further than the sea**

- Concentration of ²⁴⁴Pu in deep-sea sedimentary rock
- Half-life = 85 My, so no active contribution from solar system
- Lower concentration than expected for r-process dominated by supernovae (Wallner+ 2015)
- Low-rate, high-yield process preferred over high-rate, low-yield process → NS binaries (Hotokezaka+ 2015)

<u>Wallner+ 2015</u>





DIGGING UP FOSSILS OF NEUTRON STAR MERGERS in our own backyard



Ultra-faint dwarf galaxy Reticulum II discovered by Dark Energy Survey, has 2–3 orders of magnitude higher abundances of *r*-process elements than other MW satellites \rightarrow evidence for *a single r-process enrichment event*

LOCALIZATION AND BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP

OF THE GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE TRANSIENT GW150914

ApJL, in press arXiv:1602.08492





LOCALIZATION AND BROADBAND FOLLOW-UP

GRAVITATIONAL-WAVE

TRANSIENT GW150914

OF THE

25 observing teams (+LIGO, Virgo), **1551 authors**

unprecedented: broke ApJL author portal!

ASKAP, LOFAR, MWA, Fermi/GBM, Fermi/LAT, INTEGRAL, IPN, Swift, MAXI, BOOTES, MASTER, Pi of the Sky, DES/DECam, INAF/GRAWITA, **iPTF**, J-GEM/ KWFC, La Silla–QUEST, Liverpool Telescope, PESSTO, Pan-STARRS, SkyMapper, TAROT, Zadko, TOROS, VISTA

Localization and **broadband follow-up** of the first LIGO event

- Consortium between LIGO and 63 teams using ground and space facilities
- Gamma-ray, X-ray, optical, infrared, and radio wavelengths
- Key NASA contributions come from highenergy observational assets: *Fermi*, *Swift*, *GCN network*





SELECTED HIGHLIGHTS from O1 localization + follow-up campaign

- Prompt, accurate localization of the first LIGO signal (although LIGO/Virgo alert sent two days late)
- Possible γ-ray transient (*Fermi* GBM, though not seen by *INTEGRAL* SPI-ACS)
 <u>Connaughton+ 2016</u>, <u>Savchenko+ 2016</u>
- Follow-up of nearby galaxies with Swift XRT
 Evans, Kennea, Barthelmey+ 2016
- DECam search for failed missing supergiants/failed SN in LMC
 <u>Annis+ 2016</u>
- Keck spectroscopy of iPTF candidates <1 hr after discovery images; superluminous supernova discovered in iPTF follow-up Kasliwal, Cenko, Singer+ 2016
- DECam (<u>Soares-Santos+</u>), AGILE (<u>Tavani+</u>), XMM (<u>Troja+</u>), Fermi LAT (<u>LAT Collab.</u>), Pan-STARRS/PESSTO (<u>Smartt+</u>), +many more in preparation

Bootstrap with model problem: Fermi gamma-ray bursts



Image credit: NASA/Jim Grossmann http://www.nasa.gov/mission_pages/GLAST/news/visionimprove.html

image: NASA/GSFC



Discovery & redshift of a an optical afterglow in 71 deg²

Singer et al. 2013, ApJL arXiv:1307.5851



- Low redshift: *z* = 0.145. Energetics **bridge gap between** "standard" GRBS and IIGRBs.
- iPTF13bxl / GRB 130702A = SN 2013dx!
 Detailed spectroscopy of SN:
 <u>D'Elia+ 2015</u>, <u>Toy, Cenko, ... + Singer (2016)</u>
- Detailed afterglow modeling: A. J. van der Horst+
- Low-metallicity dwarf satellite of a higher-metallicity host Kelly+ 2013
- First clear identification of a galaxy cluster or group containing a GRB host <u>D'Elia+ 2015</u>
- Search for other SNe associated with Fermi GBM bursts
 Kovacevic+ 2014
- LAT-detected burst at low redshift → search for TeV
 emission with HAWC (Woodle 2015, PhD thesis, PSU)

PALOMAR TRANSIENT FACTORY FOLLOW-UP OF GW150914



TITLE: GCN CIRCULAR
NUMBER: 18337
SUBJECT: LIGO/Virgo G184098: iPTF Optical Transient Candidates
DATE: 15/09/20 01:39:01 GMT
FROM: Leo Singer at NASA/GSFC <leo.p.singer@nasa.gov>

[GCN OPS NOTE(19sep15): This Circular was originally published on 03:09 18-Sep-2015 UT.]

L. P. Singer (NASA/GSFC), M. M. Kasliwal (Caltech), S. B. Cenko (NASA/GSFC), V. Bhalerao (IUCAA), A. Miller (Caltech), T. Barlow (Caltech), E. Bellm (Caltech), I. Manulis (WIS), A. Singhal (IUCAA), and J. Rana (IUCAA) report on behalf of the intermediate Palomar Transient Factory (iPTF) collaboration:

We have performed tiled observations of LIGO/Virgo G184098 using the Palomar 48-inch Oschin telescope (P48). We imaged 18 fields spanning 135 deg2. Based on the LIB localization, we estimate a 2.3% prior probability that these fields contain the true location of the source. The small containment probability is because the southern mode of the updated ("LIB") localization was too far south to be observable from Palomar, whereas most of the northern mode rose after 12° twilight.

Sifting through candidate variable sources using image subtraction by both our NERSC and IPAC pipelines, and applying standard iPTF vetting procedures, we flagged the following optical transient candidates for further follow-up:

iPTF15cyo, at the coordinates: RA(J2000) = 8h 19m 56.18s (124.984069 deg) Dec(J2000) = +13d 52' 42.0" (+13.878337 deg)

Our P48 photometry includes: -483 days: R > 20.88 +3 days: R = 17.75 +/- 0.01

The position is consistent with the galaxy SDSS J081956.62+135241.7, whose spectroscopic redshift of z = 0.02963 implies an absolute magnitude for the transient of M_R = -17.8, suggestive of a supernova.

iPTF15cyq, at the coordinates: RA(J2000) = 8h 10m 00.86s (122.503586 deg) Dec(J2000) = +18d 42' 18.1" (+18.705039 deg)

We have obtained Keck II + DEIMOS spectra of all of the above targets. We will report our analyses of these spectra shortly.

Times are relative to the LIGO/Virgo trigger. Magnitudes are in the Mould R filter and in the AB system, calibrated with respect to point sources in SDSS as described in Ofek et al. (2012, http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/664065).

Kasliwal, Cenko, Singer+ 2016 (ApJL, in press)

KECK CLASSIFICATION SPECTRA less than an hour after discovery!



TITLE: GCN CIRCULAR NUMBER: 18341 SUBJECT: LIGO/Virgo G184098: Keck II DEIMOS Spectra of iPTF Optical Candidates DATE: 15/09/20 01:53:22 GMT FROM: Mansi M. Kasliwal at Caltech <mansi@astro.caltech.edu>

[GCN OPS NOTE(19sep15): This Circular was originally published on 09:28 18-Sep-2015 UT.]

M. M. Kasliwal (Caltech), S. B. Cenko (NASA GSFC), Y. Cao (Caltech) and G. Duggan (Caltech)

report on behalf of a larger collaboration

We obtained spectra of the following iPTF candidates with the DEIMOS spectrograph on the Keck II telescope on 2015 Sep 17 between approx. 11.3 and 13.3 UTC. Cross-correlating with supernova spectral libraries (SNID and Superfit), we find the following candidates are unlikely to be related: iPTF15cym: Supernova, Type II, z~0.055 iPTF15cyo: Supernova, Type Ia, z=0.0296 iPTF15cyq: Supernova, Type II, z=0.063 iPTF15cys: Supernova, Type Ia, z~0.05

In addition, we note that iPTF15cyk is unlikely to be related due to its high redshift. iPTF15cyn, iPTF14cyp and iPTF5cyt spectra are dominated by nuclear continuum. Further analysis and follow-up is underway. We thank S. R. Kulkarni for the DEIMOS observing time.



THE ZWICKY TRANSIENT FACILITY





Zwicky Transient Facility

- New camera, 7x larger FOV, order of magnitude faster survey rate
- 3800 deg2/hour \Rightarrow 3 π survey in 8 hours
- Faster readout for deep co-adds, guide camera for long exposures
- New real-time P48 pipeline at IPAC based on PTF science archive calibration, being deployed now
- More filters: *g r* (*i*)
- Improved real/bogus classification
 →higher purity discovery stream
- In process of adapting TOO Marshal for GW events



	PTF	ZTF
Active Area	7.26 deg ²	47 deg ²
Readout Time	36 sec	10 sec
Exposure Time	60 sec	30 sec
Relative Areal Survey Rate	1x	14.7x
Relative Volumetric Survey Rate	1x	12.3x

E. Bellm <u>Bellm+ 2014</u> <u>Smith+ 2014</u>

ZTF REACH into kilonova phase space





GROWTH Network: 1. Palomar Observatory Caltech (USA)

- 2. Table Mountain Observatory Pomona College (USA)
- 3. Mount Laguna Observatory San Diego State University (USA)
- 4. Gemini North Observatory NOAO (USA) - Mauna Kea
- 5. W. M. Keck Observatory Caltech (USA)
- 6. Murikabushi Observatory Tokyo Tech University (Japan)
- 7. Lulin One-meter Telescope National Central University (Taiwan)
- 8. Himalayan Chandra Telescope Indian Institute of Astrophysics (India)
- 9. Giant Metrewave Radio Telescope NCRA (India)
- **10. IUCAA Girawali Observatory** IUCAA (India)
- **11. WISE Observatory** Weizmann Institute (Israel)
- **12. Stella Observatory** Humboldt University (Germany)
- **13. Nordic Optical Telescope** Oskar Klein Centre (Sweden)
- 14. Swift Satellite (Ultraviolet and X-ray) NASA (USA)
- **15. Expanded Very Large Array (Radio)** NRAO (USA)
- **16. Fenton Hill Observatory** Los Alamos National Laboratory (USA)
- **17. Discovery Channel Telescope** University of Maryland/JSI (USA)
 - + University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee

WHERE WE WILL GO IN O2

Real-time, **open**, **public alerts** after 4 published events. By beginning of O3?



- Both population statistics and distinctive singleobject analysis
- Alerts with distance and GW classification must go out within half an hour (<1 minute, with more practice!)
- An alert every 1–2 weeks

 → need to select which
 GW events to follow up
 based on GW mass
 estimates and localization

SCIENCE OUTREACH How to get started with LIGO/Virgo alerts

● ● < > dcc.ligo.org	C) E Peptang Deta relevant 2015, HJ. 2015, HJ
LIGO Scientific Collaboration	The First Two Years of E with Advanced LIGO and Virgo
LIGO-Virgo EM Follow-Up Tutorial	This web page provides additional online material related to the paper "The First Two Years of Electromagnetic Follow-Up with Advanced LIGO approximation for Binary "Parameter Estimation for Binary Neutron-Star Coalescences with the coale that the series of the series
by Leo P. Singer (NASA/GSFC)	Defa referee 2015. HL 2016, HLV 2015, Recordured Provincia columns - 2015 / coinc_event.coinc_event_id:18951
This document is LIGO-G1500442-v10.	158/70 10256 75*
Abstract This document explains how to receive, filter, and process gravitational-wave (GW) detection candidate alerts from Advanced LIGO and Virgo. We provide sample code in Python and document alternatives for users of other programming environments. You can download this document and run the code samples in <u>IPython</u> <u>Notebook</u> .	1885 1000 1887 1000 1887 1000 1887 1000 1887 1000 1887 1000
Table of Contents	2015 / coinc_event:coinc_e
 Sign up for GCN/TAN network Sign up for a GraceDb robot password Install some dependencies Write GCN handler script Working with probability sky maps Basic observability calculations with Astropy Submitting observation coordinates to GraceDB 	
Appendix: Full example code	<pre>≮ previous next > Show # grid</pre>
	Coordinates equatorial geographic

• • • < >

lectromagnetic Follow-Up Source codes 0 + vent_id:18951 (bayestar) 늘 arXiv:1411.693

Singer+ 2014 (arXiv: 1404.5623) Berry+ 2015 (arXiv:1411.6934) Essick+ 2015 (arXiv:1409.2435) LVC+ 2016 (arXiv:1304.0670)

- Minimize surprise by • reusing technologies with heritage: GCN, FITS, HEALPix
- Rich sample catalogs, modern and simple toolchain (Astropy, Healpy, PyGCN)
- Sample code, tutorials, and more





Singer, Chen, Holz+ 2016 (arXiv:1603.07333)

COSMOGRAPHY for **fun and profit**



Combine GW parameter estimation with map of local luminosity density

Example: <u>Tully 2015</u> galaxy group map based on 2MASS Redshift Survey









Singer, Chen, Holz+ 2016 (arXiv:1603.07333) GOING THE DISTANCE Targeted O/IR kilonova search

	1				
				•	• •
į,		* * *			1
				×	



"Searching for Optical Counterparts to Gravitational Wave Sources" LCOGT 2016A (Arcavi, Howell, Valenti, **Singer**)





Ideal facilities: LCOGT (2m) + Spectral NOT (2.6m) + ALFOSC Discov. Chan. (4.3m) + LMI Magellan (6.5m) + FourStar Gemini (8.2m) + GMOS VLT (8.2m) + FORS2 Keck (10m) + LRIS GTC (10.4m) + OSIRIS



arXiv:1603.07333

http://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/Leo.Singer/going-the-distance/



Conclusions

- LIGO discovery firehose: expect O(10) GW signals by end of 2016, O(100) by end of 2017
- **NS binary mergers** are likely around the corner: O(0.1–10) events possible in O2
- Wealth of information can be learned from joint GW
 +broadband EM observations, possibly with *or without* a counterpart.
- In the Northern hemisphere and during the pre-LSST era, ZTF+GROWTH ought to be the linchpin of the multimessenger GW–EM effort.

THE FUTURE IS BRIGHT

HOW TO GET INVOLVED IN LIGO/VIRGO FOLLOW-UP

EM alerts during proprietary period (01/02) http://www.ligo.org/scientists/GWEMalerts.php

For inquiries

emf@ligo.org, L. Singer, P. Shawhan, M. Branchesi

Tutorials and technical info

https://gw-astronomy.org/wiki/LV_EM/TechInfo

GW150914 data release (includes sky maps) https://losc.ligo.org/events/GW150914/

Extra slides

Two online GW transient searches: unmodeled **bursts**, modeled **compact binary coalescences**.

Rapid localization within minutes of data acquisition, refined parameter estimation within hours to weeks.



Coherent WaveBurst (cWB)

Wavelet-based unmodeled detection, reconstruction, and localization of GW signals.

Rapid burst localization within **minutes** of data acquisition.

Not limited to the GW polarization that would be produced by a compact binary.

<u>Klimenko+ 2016</u>



LALInference Burst (LIB)

MCMC analysis assuming that the GW signal is a sine-Gaussian.

Refined burst localization within 10 minutes-hours of data acquisition.

8h 12h 7.7 6.4 7.1 ms ms ms ٥ 40° 45[°] /50° 16h $\Delta t_{\rm HL}$, $heta_{\rm HL}$ cWB LIB BAYESTAR LALInference 24h 20h

Lynch+ 2016

BAYESTAR

Bayesian triangulation of times, amplitudes, and phases on arrival as estimated by online CBC pipeline.

Rapid CBC localization within **minutes** of data acquisition.

Singer+Price 2016



LALInference

MCMC analysis assuming that the GW signal is a sinusoidally modified Gaussian.

Refined CBC parameter estimation + localization within **days to months** of data acquisition.

<u>Veitch+ 2015</u>





THE NEED FOR Advanced Virgo



Even with at "early" sensitivity, Advanced Virgo will **fundamentally transform** the character of GW observations.

Area (deg²)	GW 150914	NSBH	NSNS	
HL	400	300	200	
HLV	11	11	5	
HLI	6	7	4	