Typeset using LATEX two column style in AASTeX63 $\,$

4	Early Ultra-Violet observations of type IIn supernovae constrain the asphericity of their circumstellar
5	material
6	Maayane T. Soumagnac, ^{1,2} Eran O. Ofek, ² Jingyi Liang, ² Avishay Gal-yam, ² Peter Nugent, ^{1,3} Yi Yang, ²
7	S. Bradley Cenko, ⁴ Jesper Sollerman, ⁵ Daniel A. Perley, ⁶ Igor Andreoni, ⁷ Cristina Barbarino, ⁵
8	Kevin B. Burdge, ⁷ Rachel J. Bruch, ² Kishalay De, ⁷ Alison Dugas, ⁷ Christoffer Fremling, ⁷
9	Melissa L. Graham, ⁸ Matthew J. Hankins, ⁷ Shane Moran, ^{9,10} James D. Neill, ⁷ Steve Schulze, ²
10	BRIGITTA M. SIPOCZ, ¹¹ LEONARDO TARTAGLIA, ⁵ RICHARD WALTERS, ¹ LIN YAN, ¹ YUHAN YAO, ¹ AND OFER YARON ²
11	¹ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
12	² Department of Particle Physics and Astrophysics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel
13	³ Department of Astronomy, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3411, USA
14	⁴ Astrophysics Science Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, MC 661, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
15	⁵ The Oskar Klein Centre, Department of Astronomy, Stockholm University, AlbaNova, 10691 Stockholm, Sweden
16	⁶ Astrophysics Research Institute, Liverpool John Moores University, 146 Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L3 5RF, UK
17	⁷ California Institute of Technology, 1200 East California Boulevard, MC 278-17, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA
18	⁸ Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Box 351580, U.W., Seattle, WA 98195-1580, USA
19	⁹ Tuorla Observatory, Department of Physics and Astronomy, FI-20014, University of Turku, Finland
20	¹⁰ Nordic Optical Telescope, Apartado 474, E-38700 Santa Cruz de La Palma, Spain
21	¹¹ DIRAC Institute, Department of Astronomy, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
22	Submitted to ApJ
23	ABSTRACT
24	We present a survey of the early evolution of Type IIn supernovae (SNe IIn) in the Ultra-Violet
25	(IIV) and visible light and show that at least one third of them appear to explode in aspherical
25	circumstelllar clouds. Our sample consists of 12 SNe IIn discovered and observed with the Zwicky
20	Transient Facility (ZTF) and followed up in the UV with the Neil Gebrels Swift Observatory. We use
21	these observations to constrain the geometry of the circumstellar material (CSM) surrounding SN IIn
20	avalosions, which may shad light on their progenitor diversity. Indeed, while observations of SNe IIn
29	are usually analyzed within the framework of spherically symmetric models of CSM resolved images
30	of stars undergoing considerable mass loss suggest that asphericity is common, and should be taken
31	into account for realistic modeling of these events. We apply the criterion for apphenicity introduced
32	hito account for realistic modeling of these events. We apply the criterion for asphericity infooduced
33	by Soumagnac et al. (2019b), stating that a last increase of the blackbody elective radius, if observed
34	at times when the CSM surrounding the explosion is still optically thick, may be interpreted as an
35	indication that the USM is aspherical. We find that two thirds of the SNe in our sample show evidence
36	for aspherical USM, whereas one third do not show evidence for either spherical or aspherical CSM.
37	After correcting for the relative volume of these two sub-classes, we derive a conservative lower limit
38	of 35% on the fraction of SNe IIn showing evidence for aspherical CSM.

Keywords: keywords 39

40

1. INTRODUCTION

Type IIn supernovae (SNe IIn) show prominent and 41 narrow-to-intermediate width Balmer emission lines in 42

Corresponding author: Maayane T. Soumagnac mtsoumagnac@lbl.gov

their spectra (Schlegel 1990; Filippenko 1997; Smith 43 2014; Gal-Yam 2017). This specificity is thought to be 44 the signature of photoionized and dense, hydrogen-rich, 45 circumstellar medium (CSM) which is ejected from the 46 SN progenitor prior to its explosive death. Because these 47 narrow lines are the signature of an external physical 48 49 phenomenon rather than of any intrinsic property of the explosion, they may appear in the spectra of many SNe, 50

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

at some point during their evolution. As a result, the 51 Type IIn class of SNe is a heterogeneous category of ob-52 jects. Depending on the spatial distribution and phys-53 ical properties of the CSM surrounding the explosion, 54 the characteristic narrow Balmer lines may persist for 55 days ("flash spectroscopy", Gal-Yam et al. 2014; Khazov 56 et al. 2016; Yaron et al. 2017), weeks (e.g., SN 1998S, Li 57 et al. 1998; Fassia et al. 2000, 2001; SN 2005gl, Gal-Yam 58 et al. 2007; SN 2010mc, Ofek et al. 2013a), or years (e.g., 59 SN 1988Z, Danziger & Kjaer 1991; Stathakis & Sadler 60 1991; Turatto et al. 1993; van Dyk et al. 1993; Chugai 61 & Danziger 1994; Fabian & Terlevich 1996; Aretxaga 62 et al. 1999; Williams et al. 2002; Schlegel & Petre 2006; 63 Smith et al. 2017; SN 010 jl, Patat et al. 2011; Stoll et al. 64 2011; Gall et al. 2014; Ofek et al. 2014). 65

Observing SNe IIn at ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths 66 is interesting for several reasons. First, an important 67 ingredient of the physical picture governing SNe IIn ex-68 plosions - the collisionless shock propagating in the CSM 69 after the shock breakout (Ofek et al. 2010) - is pre-70 dicted to radiate most in the UV and X-rays (Katz et al. 71 2011; Murase et al. 2011, 2014; Chevalier & Irwin 2012). 72 Observing the explosion at these wavelengths has the 73 potential to unveil precious information about the ex-74 plosion mechanism and the CSM properties (e.g., Ofek 75 et al. 2013b). In particular, it may provide a much bet-76 ter estimate of the bolometric luminosity of the event. 77

Second, UV observations can help constrain the geometrical distribution of the CSM, which is closely related
to the mass-loss processes occurring before the explosion
and probe the nature of the progenitors of this type of
events.

Although observations of SNe IIn are usually analyzed 83 within the framework of spherically symmetric models of 84 CSM, resolved images of stars undergoing considerable 85 mass loss (e.g., η Carinae; Davidson & Humphreys 1997, 86 87 2012), some of whom are likely SN IIn progenitors (Gal-Yam et al. 2007; Gal-Yam & Leonard 2009) as well as 88 polarimetric observations (Leonard et al. 2000; Hoffman 89 et al. 2008; Wang & Wheeler 2008; Reilly et al. 2017) 90 suggest that asphericity should be taken into account 91 for more realistic modeling. Asphericity of the CSM 92 has recently been invoked to interpret the spectrocopic 93 and spectropolarimetric observations of the Type IIn 94 SN 2012ab (Bilinski et al. 2017) and SN 2009ip (Mauer-95 han et al. 2014; Smith et al. 2014; Levesque et al. 2014; 96 Reilly et al. 2017). 97

In Soumagnac et al. (2019b), we showed that the light curve of the luminous Type IIn SN PTF 12glz may be interpreted as evidence for aspherical CSM. While the spectroscopic analysis is consistent with opaque CSM obstructing our view of any growing structure, r_{BB} - the radius of the deepest transparent emitting layer - grows by an order of magnitude, at a speed of ~ 8000 km s⁻¹. To explain this tension, we considered a simple aspherical structure of CSM: a slab. We modeled the radiation from an explosion embedded in a slab of CSM by numerically solving the radiative diffusion equation in a slab with different density profiles: $\rho = Const.$, $\rho \propto |z|^{-1}$ and a wind density profile $\rho \propto z^{-2}$. Although this model is simplistic, it allows recovery of the peculiar growth of the blackbody radius r_{BB} observed in the case of PTF 12glz, as well as the decrease of its blackbody temperature T_{BB} .

This allowed us to derive a criterion for asphericity: a fast increase of r_{BB} can be interpreted as the signature of non-spherical CSM, if it is observed while the CSM is still optically thick. This is because the approximately stationary CSM is obscuring the expanding SN ejecta, and explaining an expanding emitting region due to photon diffusion in the CSM requires a non-spherical CSM configuration. In this paper, we assemble a sample of SNe IIn, to which we apply this criterion in order to estimate the fraction of SNe IIn showing evidence for non-spherical CSM.

Several samples of SNe IIn have been gathered and studied so far. Among them, the sample by Kiewe et al. (2012), consists of four SNe IIn observed by the Caltech Core-Collapse Project (CCCP) with the 1.5 m robotic telescope at the Palomar Observatory (P60; Cenko et al. 2006) using JohnsonCousins BVRI filters. They studies the light curve features and derived the progenitor star wind velocities. The sample by Taddia et al. (2013) consists of 5 SNe IIn observed by the Carnegie Supernova Project (Hamuy et al. 2006) at visible-light and nearinfrared wavelengths, and was used to derive mass-loss parameters. The sample by Ofek et al. (2014) consists of 19 SNe IIn observed by the Palomar Transient Factory (Law et al. 2009; Rau et al. 2009) and its extension, the intermediate PTF (iPTF) using the PTF R-band filter. It allowed to exhibit a possible correlation between the r-band rise time and peak luminosity of SNe IIn and to derive a lower limits on the shock-breakout velocity, supporting the idea that early-time light curves of SNe IIn are caused by shock breakout in a dense CSM. The sample by Nyholm et al. (2019) consists of 42 objects with observations from PTF and iPTF, and was used for an in-depth study of their light-curve properties. de la Rosa et al. (2016) collected Swift UV observations of 10 SNe IIn observed between 2007 and 2013 (8 of which post-peak) and studied e.g. their blackbody properties. To our knowledge, no systematic and planned survey of the early phase of SNe IIn in the UV has been performed so far. In this paper, we present a sample of 12 SNe IIn

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

216

217

218

210

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

detected and observed by the Zwicky Transient Facility
(ZTF) (e.g., Bellm et al. 2019; Graham et al. 2019) and
followed-up in the UV by the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory (*Swift*) space telescope (Gehrels et al. 2004).

We present the aforementioned observations in §2. In §3, we present some analysis of these observations. §4 is dedicated to constraining the fraction of SNe IIn exploding into aspherical CSM. We summarize our main results in §5.

164 2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

¹⁶⁵ In this section, we present the ZTF and *Swift* obser-¹⁶⁶ vations of the 12 SNe IIn of our sample.

2.1. Discovery

All 12 SNe IIn were detected by the ZTF automatic 168 pipeline as potential transients in the data from the ZTF 169 camera mounted on the 1.2 m Samuel Oschin telescope 170 (P48, Rahmer et al. 2008). The host galaxies r-band 171 magnitudes, as well as the coordinates, redshift and dis-172 tance modulus of all objects are summarized in Table 1. 173 The Milky Way extinction was deduced from Schlafly & 174 Finkbeiner (2011) using the extinction curves of Cardelli 175 et al. (1989). 176

177

197

167

2.2. Selection criterion

Since the beginning of operation, ZTF has found sev-178 eral spectroscopically confirmed SNe IIn per month. 179 However, applying the criterion for asphericity from 180 Soumagnac et al. (2019b) depends on our ability to mea-181 sure the evolution of $r_{\rm BB}$ - the effective blackbody radius 182 at the time when the CSM is still optically thick and 183 obstructing our view of any expanding material. We 184 selected only SNe IIn which were spectroscopically con-185 firmed while still on their rise. This selection criterion 186 was motivated by two reasons (1) the spectrum of the 187 SNe IIn in the early phase is still well described by a 188 blackbody spectrum (2) the rise of the optical light curve 189 gives a better handle on the evolution of $r_{\rm BB}$ than the 190 peak phase (3) we assumed that rising SNe IIn are young 191 enough to allow us to take several Swift observations 192 and still be in the regime where expanding material has 193 not reached optically thin areas of the CSM. Some of 194 these objects were first reported and classified by other 195 surveys, see Table 1 for details. 196

2.3. Photometry

All the light curves are shown in Figure 1. The photometry is reported in electronic Table 2 and is available via WISeREP¹.

¹ https://wiserep.weizmann.ac.il

Photometry was obtained using the ZTF camera mounted on the P48 telescope, through the P48 r and g filters. Data were obtained with a cadence of about 1-3 days, to a limiting AB magnitude of $r \approx 20.5$ mag and $g \approx 21$ mag. The P48 data were automatically reduced using the ZTF pipeline (Masci et al. 2019), using the image subtraction algorithm ZOGY by Zackay et al. (2016).

The robotic 1.52 m telescope at Palomar (P60; Cenko et al. 2006) was used with a 2048×2048 -pixel "Rainbow" CCD camera (Ben-Ami et al. 2012; Blagorodnova et al. 2018) and g', r', i' SDSS filters. Data reduction of the P60 data was performed using the FPipe pipeline (Fremling et al. 2016), using the image subtraction algorithm by Zackay et al. (2016).

The Swift UVOT data were retrieved from the NASA Swift Data Archive² and reduced using standard software distributed with HEAsoft version 6.26³. Photometry was measured using the FTOOLSs uvotimsum and uvotsource with a 5 circular aperture.

None of the SNe IIn in our sample were detected with the Swift XRT camera.

2.4. Spectroscopy

Optical spectra of all SNe were obtained using the telescopes and spectrographs listed in Table 3. The spectra were used to determine the redshift from the narrow host lines (H α). All the spectra were corrected for Galactic extinction as deduced from Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011), using Cardelli et al. (1989) extinction curves.

All spectra are shown in Figure 2 and are available from WISeREP. In the following, we summarize the reduction procedures applied for each spectrum. All spectroscopic observations were calibrated in the following way: since we have contemporaneous P48 *r*-band data, all spectra were scaled so that their synthetic photometry matches the P48 *r*-band value.

The Spectral Energy Distribution Machine (SEDm, Ben-Ami et al. 2012; Blagorodnova et al. 2018) spectra were automatically reduced by the IFU data reduction pipeline (Rigault et al. 2019).

The SPRAT spectra were processed by a modification of the pipeline for FrodoSpec (Barnsley et al. 2012).

The spectra taken with the Andalucia Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC), mounted on the

² https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/W3Browse/swift.pl

³ https://heasarc.nasa.gov/lheasoft/





Figure 1. The light curves of all the objects in our sample. Time is shown relative to the estimated epoch at which the extrapolated light curve (Equation A1 and Equation A2) is reaching zero: t_0 , as derived in § 3.1 and summarized in Table 4. The x-axis starts at the most recent non-detection, used as the lower limit of the prior in the t_0 fit. Black dashed lines indicate dates at which spectroscopic data exist.

Soumagnac et al.

IAU Name	ZTF Name	RA (deg)	Dec (deg)	$\operatorname{Redshift}$	Distance modulus	Galactic extinction
					(mag)	E_{B-V} (mag)
SN2018lpu	ZTF18abgrlpv	283.937395	+47.441250	0.2104	40.10	0.055
${ m SN}2018{ m fdt}$	$\rm ZTF18 ablt fho$	256.184755	+38.235567	0.055	36.91	0.036
$\mathrm{SN}2018\mathrm{gwa}$	ZTF18abxbhov	110.069724	+41.346650	0.0659	37.33	0.075
$\rm SN2018 bwr$	ZTF18aavskep	232.109019	+8.806157	0.046	36.50	0.036
$\mathrm{SN}2018\mathrm{kag}$	ZTF18acwzyor	133.951981	+3.5841530	0.02736	35.33	0.045
${ m SN}2019{ m qt}$	ZTF19aadgimr	224.794385	+43.819899	0.035	35.88	0.017
${ m SN}2018{ m lnb}$	ZTF19aaadwfi	159.5836458	+48.2752905	0.222	40.23	0.012
$\rm SN2019 cac$	ZTF19aaksxgp	207.5882959	-2.5069478	0.0467	36.53	0.049
${ m SN}2019{ m cmy}$	ZTF19aanpcep	227.2118487	+40.7137497	0.0314	35.58	0.015
$\rm SN2019 ctt$	ZTF19aanfqug	150.176198	+12.039836	0.0464	36.50	0.037
${ m SN}2019{ m dde}$	ZTF19aaozsuh	217.05016	-1.5804196	0.06	37.11	0.052
${ m SN}2019{ m dnz}$	ZTF19aaqasrq	297.131153	+2.91375	0.025	35.13	0.183

 Table 1. Summary of observational parameters

NOTE—The three first SNe are those for which we were unable to secure enough spectroscopic data in order to include them in our analysis of the CSM geometry (see \S 3.3). SN 2018 pu was discovered and classified by the ZTF survey; SN 2018fdt was discovered by the ATLAS survey on 2018-08-14 as ATLAS18tuy (Tonry et al. 2018b), also detected by Gaia surveys as Gaia18chl, classified by ZTF (Fremling et al. 2018a); SN 2018gwa was discovered (Fremling 2018) and classified (Fremling et al. 2018b) by ZTF, also detected by Gaia on 2018-10-05 as Gaia18cxl; The rest of the SNe in the table are all included in our analysis of the CSM geometry. SN 2018bwr was discovered by the ATLAS survey on 2018-05-21 as ATLAS18ppb (Tonry et al. 2018a), also detected by PS1 and Gaia surveys as PS18aau and Gaia18bpl, classified by ZTF (Fremling & Sharma 2018); SN 2018kag was discovered by the ASAS-SN survey on 2018-12-17 as ASASSN-18abt and classified by Prentice et al. (2018); SN 2019qt was discovered (Nordin et al. 2019a) and classified (Payne et al. 2019) by ZTF, also detected by ATLAS, Gaia and PS1 as ATLAS19btl, Gaia19aid and PS19ahy; SN 2018lnb was discovered and classified by ZTF (Fremling et al. 2019a); SN 2019cac was discovered and classified by ZTF (Fremling 2019a), also detected by ATLAS and PS1 as ATLAS19doj and PS19ym; SN 2019cmy was discovered (Nordin et al. 2019b) and classified (Fremling et al. 2019b) by ZTF, also detected by ATLAS as ATLAS19elx; SN 2019ctt was discovered by ZTF (Nordin et al. 2019c) and classified by SCAT (Tucker et al. 2019); SN 2019dnz was discovered by ZTF (Fremling 2019b) and classified by TCD (Prentice et al. 2019), also detected by ATLAS as ATLAS19hra; SN 2019dde was discovered by ZTF, classified by ZTF (Fremling et al. 2019c) and (Cartier et al. 2019), also detected by MASTER and PS1 as MASTER OT J142812.05-013615.2 and PS19aaa.

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

²⁴⁵ 2.56-meter Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT), were re-²⁴⁶ duced following standard IRAF⁴ procedures.

The spectra taken with the Auxiliary-port CAMera
(ACAM), mounted on the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope (WHT), were processed following standard IRAF
procedures.

The data from the Double Beam Spectrograph (DBSP) on the Palomar 200-inch (P200) telescope were reduced following standard IRAF procedures of long slit spectroscopy. The two-dimensional (2D) images were first bias subtracted and flatfield-corrected, then the 1D spectral spectra were extracted, wavelength calibrated with comparison lamps, and flux calibrated using observations of spectrophotometric standard stars observed during the same night and at approximately similar airmasses to the SN.

The spectra taken with the SuperNova Integral Field Spectrograph (SNIFS; Aldering et al. 2002; Lantz et al. 2004) were obtain from TNS with kind permission from Anna V Payne and Michael A. Tucker.

Data taken with the FLOYDS spectrograph mounted on the 2m Faulkes Telescope North, Hawaii, USA through the observing program TAU2019A-008 (PI: Ofek). A 1."2 slit was placed on the target. The spectrum was extracted and calibrated following standard

⁴ IRAF is distributed by the National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which are operated by the Association of Universities for²⁶⁹ Research in Astronomy, Inc., under cooperative agreement with the National Science Foundation.

Table 2.	Photometry
----------	------------

Object	Epoch	Mag	Magerr	Flux	Fluxerr	Abs. mag	Abs. magerr	Filter	Instrument
(JD)	(AB)	(AB)	(erg/s)	(erg/s)	(AB)	(AB)			
ZTF18aavskep	2458273.8166	16.75	0.01	5.267e - 16	0.049e - 16	-19.75	0.01	r	ZTF+P48
ZTF19aadgimr	2458502.9868	16.59	0.04	1.097e-15	0.040e - 15	-19.29	0.04	g	ZTF+P48
ZTF19aadgimr	2458586.8067	17.75	0.04	1.366e-16	0.050e - 16	-18.13	0.04	i	ZTF+P48
ZTF18aavskep	2458277.8361	17.87	0.09	1.833e - 15	0.152e - 15	-18.63	0.09	UVW2	Swift+UVOT
ZTF18aavskep	2458277.8383	17.58	0.09	2.004e - 15	0.166e - 15	-18.91	0.09	UVM2	Swift+UVOT
ZTF18aavskep	2458277.8405	17.29	0.08	1.984e-15	0.146e - 15	-19.21	0.08	UVW1	Swift+UVOT

NOTE—This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable format in the online journal. A portion is shown here for guidance regarding its form and content. Time is shown relative to the estimated epoch at which the extrapolated light curve (based on Equation A2 and Equation A1) is reaching zero, as derived in § 3.1 and shown in Table 1.

307

308

309

311

312

313

314

315 316

317

318 319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

procedures using the FLOYDS data reduction pipeline⁵ 270

(Valenti et al. 2014). 271

281

Data from the Dual Imaging Spectrograph (DIS6) 272 mounted on the 3.5 m Astrophysics Research Consor-273 tium (ARC) telescope at the Apache Point Observatory 274 were reduced using standard procedures and calibrated 275 to a standard star obtained the same night using the 276 PyDIS package (Davenport 2018); 277

Data taken with the the Keck Low-Resolution Imaging 278 Spectrometer (LRIS) (Oke et al. 1995). The data was 279 reduced with the LRIS automated reduction pipeline⁶. 280

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Epoch of zero flux 282

In order to derive the epoch of zero flux of all the 283 events, we used the $Photomanip^7$ package (released in 284 the Appendix of this paper) to fit the *r*-band flux during 285 the rise time (or the q-band fluxlight curve, when early r-286 band data points are not available) with an exponential 287 function of the form 288

$$f = f_{\max}\{1 - \exp\left[(t_0 - t)/t_c\right]\}, \qquad (1)$$

and a power-law of the form 289

$$f = a(t - t_0)^n$$
, (2)

(where t_0 is the extrapolated time of zero flux, f_{max} 290 is the maximum flux, t_c is the characteristic rise time of 291 the bolometric light curve). In each case, we chose the 292 function giving the best fit, which allowed us to estimate 293 the epochs at which the extrapolated light curves are 294

reaching zero, which are used throughout this paper as 295 the reference time t_0 , and are summarized in Table 4. 296 297 For each SN in our sample, the table shows the band in which the fit was performed (g or r, depending on how)298 constraining the data are), the prior on t_0 is taken to be 299 the time-interval between the most recent pre-explosion 300 upper limit and the first detection. Table 4 also shows 301 the 1σ confidence interval on t_0 . The typical uncertainty 302 on t_0 is of order 1 to a few days, with the exception of 303 SN 2019cac (where no previous non-detection exists and 304 for which we applied a broad conservative prior on t_0), 305 for which it is higher than 20 days. 306

3.2. Blackbody temperature, radius and bolometric luminosity

Taking advantage of the multiple-band photometry coverage, we used the PhotoFit⁸ tool (Soumagnac et al. 310 2019a) to derive the temperature and radius of the blackbody that best fits the photometric data at each epoch. The derived best-fit temperatures T_{BB} and radii r_{BB} are shown in Figure 3. We observe that seven objects of our sample exhibit a fast increase of the blackbody radius, a result in contrast with most previous observations. Indeed, many previously studied SNe IIn showed a constant blackbody radius (e.g., SN2010jl Ofek et al. 2014), consistent with the continuum photosphere being located in the unshocked optically thick CSM. In some cases a blackbody radius stalling after a short increase (e.g., 2005kj, 2006bo, 2008fq, 2006qq, Taddia et al. 2013; 2006tf, Smith et al. 2008) or even a shrinking blackbody radius (e.g., SN2005ip; SN2006jd, Taddia et al. 2013) were observed. Such observations were explained by the possible presence of clumps in the CSM

⁵ https://github.com/svalenti/FLOYDS_pipeline

⁶ http://www.astro.caltech.edu/dperley/programs/lpipe.html

⁷ https://github.com/maayane/PhotoManip

⁸ https://github.com/maayane/PhotoFit



Figure 2. Optical spectra of all Type IIn SNe studied for this article. The dashed vertical lines show the Balmer series. The blue stars indicate telluric absorption.

Table 3.	Summary	of	spectroscopic	observations
----------	---------	----	---------------	--------------

Object	Date	Facility
$\rm SN2018 bwr$	2018Jun 02	P60 + SEDM
	2018 Jun 10	LT + SPRAT
	2019 Jan 19	NOT + ALFOSC
${ m SN}2018{ m lpu}$	2018 Jul 17	P200 + DBSP [1]
${ m SN}2018{ m fdt}$	$2018~{\rm Aug}~12$	P60 + SEDM
	$2018~{\rm Aug}~19$	P200 + DBSP
$\mathrm{SN}2018\mathrm{gwa}$	$2018 {\rm \ Oct\ } 06$	P60 + SEDM
	2018 Oct 10	P200 + DBSP
${ m SN}2018{ m kag}$	$2018 \ \mathrm{Dec}\ 24$	P60 + SEDM
	2019 Jan 19	NOT + ALFOSC
	$2019 \ {\rm Feb} \ 20$	WHT + ACAM
${ m SN}2019{ m qt}$	2019Jan 13	UH88 + SNIFS $*$
	$2019~{\rm Feb}~04$	NOT + ALFOSC
${ m SN}2018{ m lnb}$	$2019~{\rm Jan}~29$	LT + SPRAT
	$2019~{\rm Feb}~04$	LCOGT $2m + FLOYDS$
	$2019~{\rm Feb}~04$	LT + SPRAT
	$2019 \ {\rm Feb} \ 12$	P200 + DBSP
	$2019 \ {\rm Feb} \ 12$	P200 + DBSP
	$2019~{\rm Mar}~15$	NOT + ALFOSC
	$2019~{\rm Mar}~15$	P60 + SEDM
SN 2019cac	$2019~{\rm Mar}~14$	P60 + SEDM
	$2019~{\rm Apr}~07$	NOT + ALFOSC
$\rm SN2019 cmy$	$2019~{\rm Mar}~29$	P60 + SEDM
	$2019~{\rm Mar}~30$	ARC + DIS
	$2019~{\rm Mar}~30$	P60 + SEDM
	$2019~{\rm Mar}~30$	P60 + SEDM
	$2019~{\rm Mar}~31$	P60 + SEDM
	$2019~{\rm Apr}~03$	Keck1 + LRIS
	$2019~{\rm Apr}~07$	ARC + DIS
$\rm SN2019 ctt$	$2019~{\rm Apr}~06$	UH88 + SNIFS $*$
	$2019~{\rm Apr}~22$	P60+SEDM
	$2019~{\rm Apr}~24$	P200+DBSP
$\rm SN2019 dde$	$2019~{\rm Apr}~14$	P60 + SEDM
	$2019~{\rm Apr}~16$	SOAR + Goodman *
	$2019~{\rm May}~01$	LT + SPRAT
${ m SN}2019{ m dnz}$	$2019~{\rm Apr}~19$	P60 + SEDM
	$2019~{\rm Apr}~19$	LT + SPRAT
	$2019~{\rm Apr}~30$	LT + SPRAT
	$2019 {\rm \ May\ } 11$	LT + SPRAT

NOTE—The spectra marked with a star were obtained from the TNS and kindly made available to us by Anna V Payne, Michael A. Tucker (SCAT) and Dr. Regis Cartier. [1] The 600/4000 grism and 316/7500 grating were used for the blue and red cameras, respectively, with the D55 dichroic.



Figure 3. The evolution in time of: (1) the radius (upper panel), (2) the temperature (lower panel) of a blackbody with the same radiation as each of the twelve SNe in our sample. The points were obtained by fitting a blackbody spectrum to the observed photometry, after interpolating the various data sets to obtain data coverage of coinciding epochs. The errors were obtained with Monte Carlo Markov chain simulations. The dashed lines correspond to objects for which no late spectra was obtained in order to confirm that the CSM is optically thick. They should be taken cautiously.

that may expose underlying layers (Smith et al. 2008). 327 PTF 12glz was not the only case were a fast increase of 328 the blackbody radius was observed: three of the SNe 329 IIn observed - in the UV - by de la Rosa et al. (2016) 330 showed blackbody radii growing at comparable rates. 331 This could be due to the fact that UV observations pro-332 vide a better handle on the blackbody spectrum shape 333 than visible light alone, suggesting that a fast increase of 334 the blackbody radius of SNe IIn may be more common 335 than suggested by visible-light surveys of these objects. 336 337

We further discuss and exploit the r_{BB} measurement in § 4.

Based on the measurement of r_{BB} and T_{BB} , we were able to derive the luminosity $L_{BB} = 4\pi r_{BB}^2 \sigma T_{BB}^4$ of the blackbody fits, shown in Figure 4.

Labic 1. Indiciding finds inving result	Table 4.	Reference	times	fitting	result
---	----------	-----------	-------	---------	--------

IAU Name	ZTF Name	model	band	most recent upper limit	t_0	confidence interval
				(JD)	(JD)	(JD)
SN2018lpu	ZTF18abgrlpv	power law	g	2458306.847	2458306.846	[2458306.845, 2458307.330]
${ m SN}2018{ m fdt}$	ZTF18abltfho	exponent	r	2458334.665	2458336.338	[2458335.790, 2458336.632]
$\mathrm{SN}2018\mathrm{gwa}$	ZTF18abxbhov	exponent	g	2458374.969	2458376.524	$\left[2458375.206, 2458376.526\right]$
SN2018bwr	ZTF18aavskep	exponent	r	2458257.521	2458257.527	[2458257.399, 2458257.644]
$\mathrm{SN}2018\mathrm{kag}$	ZTF18acwzyor	power law	g	2458464.965	2458467.591	[2458466.373, 2458467.880]
$\mathrm{SN}2019\mathrm{qt}$	ZTF19aadgimr	exponent	g	2458488.008	2458491.726	$\left[2458491.634, 2458491.800\right]$
$\mathrm{SN}2018\mathrm{lnb}$	ZTF19aaadwfi	power law	g	2458467.972	2458475.834	$\left[2458475.415, 2458478.945\right]$
$\mathrm{SN}2019\mathrm{cac}$	ZTF19aaksxgp	power law	g	2458521.778	2458521.937	[2458503.976, 2458526.771]
${ m SN}2019{ m cmy}$	ZTF19aanpcep	exponent	g	2458567.983	2458568.505	[2458568.330, 2458568.577]
$\rm SN2019 ctt$	ZTF19aanfqug	exponent	r	2458541.796	2458550.011	[2458546.826, 2458551.973]
${ m SN}2019{ m dde}$	ZTF19aaozsuh	power law	r	2458573.902	2458582.434	[2458580.024, 2458582.710]
${ m SN}2019{ m dnz}$	ZTF19aaqasrq	exponent	r	2458581.995	2458583.441	$[2458582.907,\!2458583.702]$

NOTE—The "model" column specifies whether a power law (Equation A2) ore a concave exponent (Equation A1) gives the best fit. The "band" column specifies the band (g or r) used for the fit, and was chosen according to the amount of data available in each band. We then report the most recent non detection, which we use as the lower limit of our prior on t_0 (we use the most recent detection as the upper limit). For SN 2019cac, no previous non-detection exists, and so our prior interval is a time interval of 100 days before the first detection. The " t_0 " column is the best fit time at which the flux reaches zero - the time used as an estimate of the explosion epoch. The confidence interval, shown in the last column, is defined here as the tightest intervals containing 68% of the probability and including our best-fit t_0 value.

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

369



Figure 4. The evolution in time of the bolometric luminosity of a blackbody with the same radiation as each of the twelve SNe in our sample. The dashed lines correspond to objects for which no late spectra was obtained in order to confirm that the CSM is optically thick. They should be taken cautiously.

3.3. Spectroscopy

In this section, we only report the spectroscopic information that allow us to assess which photometric data

342

are usable for our analysis of the CSM geometry. Indeed, the asphericity criterion proposed by Soumagnac et al. (2019b) is only applicable at times when the CSM surrounding the explosion is optically thick. To verify this, we require that the spectrum will be dominated by a blackbody continuum with no high velocity (> 2000 km s⁻¹) absorption and emission lines.

We can only include in our analysis multiple-band photometry that was collected before, or close to, the observation of a spectrum showing no evidence for highvelocity material. Unfortunately, we were unable to secure such spectroscopy for the SNe IIn ZTF18abgrlpv, ZTF18abltfho and ZTF18abxbhov, for which no spectra were taken after or close to the last *Swift* data point.

3.3.1. SN 2018bwr

The first two spectra show H_{α} , H_{β} and H_{γ} emission lines. In the last spectrum, we see prominent broad Ca II emission, blended with the O I λ 8446 Å feature. The numerous Fe lines are blended, exhibiting a pseudocontinuum around ~5500 Å. Such a pseudo continuum is also seen e.g. in PTF 12glz (Soumagnac et al. 2019b) and in SN 2005 cl (Kiewe et al. 2012). We conclude from this that the spectra are dominated by interaction out to late times, and we can use all of the UV photometry for our analysis.

421

425

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

3.3.2. SN 2018kag

The first spectrum shows a blue continuum with 371 Balmer emissions lines. The Balmer lines remain dis-372 cernible at +30.3 d and the continuum becomes flat. At 373 +63.10 d, higher velocity absorption and emission lines 374 have appeared in the spectrum, hinting that the CSM 375 may not be optically thick anymore. As a result, only 376 the UV photometry taken between the first two spectra 377 is usable for our analysis of the CSM geometry. 378

3.3.3. SN 2019qt

Distinct narrow H_{α} and H_{β} emission lines are visible 380 in both spectra. H_{γ} emission is also visible, especially in 381 the earlier spectrum. Since all the UV photometry was 382 taken between the epochs of these two spectra, all of it 383 is usable for our analysis. 384

370

379

385

391

398

3.3.4. SN 2018lnb

Narrow Balmer emission are visible in all spectra ex-386 cept for the first two spectra, in which the Ha component 387 falls outside the spectral range of SPART/LT, and the 388 SEDm/P60 spectrum which has low signal-to-noise. All 389 of the UV photometric data is usable for our analysis. 390

3.3.5. SN 2019cac

In spite of the low resolution of the first spectrum, H_{α} 392 emission is visible at +31.9 d. Strong emission lines of 393 H_{α} , H_{β} and H_{γ} can be observed at +55.9 d. Although 394 the last UV data point was taken after the second spec-395 trum, we consider their epochs to be close enough so 396 that all of the UV data can be used for our analysis. 397

3.3.6. SN 2019cmy

The narrow Balmer emission lines, that define the type 399 IIn class, are the signature of an external physical phe-400 nomenon highly dependent on the surrounding environ-401 ment, rather than of any intrinsic property of the explo-402 sion. In the case of "flash spectroscopy" events, these 403 lines only persist for days, whereas in the case of SNe IIn 404 they may still be visible in the spectrum for months or 405 years. The type IIn class is not a well-defined category 406 of objects, and in particular, the limit between flash-407 spectroscopy events and Type IIn SNe can be blurry, 408 when the Balmer lines persist for weeks or a few months. 409

In the case of SN 2019cmy, prominent narrow Balmer 410 emissions lines are visible at +4.9 d, with the charac-411 teristic broad wings of the H α line, interpreted as the 412 signature of electron scattering, clearly visible. Strong 413 high-ionization emission lines of He II $\lambda 4686$ Å only 414 persists at +4.9 days. An excess on the blue side of 415 the He II λ 4686 Å coincides with the high-ionized C III 416 $\lambda 4650$ Å. However, by +8.5 d, the C III $\lambda 4650$ Å and 417

He II $\lambda 4686$ Å lines have completely disappeared, con-418 sistent with flash-ionized emissions. The Balmer lines 419 decrease in strength with time: the $H_{\gamma} \lambda 4341 \text{ Å}$ and $H_{\delta} \lambda 4102 \text{ Å}$ are marginally detected at +8.5 d and have disappeared by day +12.4. A spectrum taken two 422 months after first light (and not shown in this paper) 423 exhibits the features of a "normal" Type II SN, without 424 any particular signature of CSM interaction.

Our geometrical analysis, which probes the shape of 426 the CSM rather than its amount or the physical ways 427 by which it was ejected, should still hold. All the UV 428 photometry is usable for our analysis. 429

3.3.7. SN 2019ctt

Narrow Balmer lines $(H_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}, H_{\gamma})$ are visible in all three spectra. The H_{δ} line is also visible in the higher resolution spectrum at +32.4 d. All the UV photometry is usable for our analysis.

3.3.8. SN 2019dde

The first spectrum, taken at +63.07 d with the SEDm/P60 shows narrow Balmer lines $(H_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}, H_{\gamma})$ H_{δ} , H_{ϵ}). The three later spectra at +65.10 d, +79.92 d and +107.92 d show narrow H_{α} and H_{β} emission lines,

In the last spectrum, a narrow He λ 5876 Å emission line is visible. Although the Balmer series is strongly dominated by narrow emission, the broad absorption at 5000-10000 km s⁻¹ suggests that the ejecta have become visible, and the CSM is not completely optically thick anymore.

To account for this, we only use the first two UV epochs for our analysis.

3.3.9. SN 2019dnz

Narrow Balmer lines $(H_{\alpha}, H_{\beta}, H_{\gamma})$ are visible in all three spectra. In addition, H_{δ} , H_{ϵ} emission lines can be seen in the last spectrum. All the UV photometry can be used for our analysis.

3.3.10. Events with missing final spectra

For three objects of our sample, we were unable to collect a spectrum showing no evidence for high-velocity material close to or after the last UV photometry epoch. For SN 2018 lpu, one spectrum was taken, where strong and narrow Balmer lines can be seen. Other interesting features include narrow emission of He II (λ 3203 Å, λ 4686 Å), [O II] λ 3727 Å, and [O III] λ 5007 Å. For both SN 2018fdt and SN 2018gwa, two spectra were obtained before any Swift photometry was taken. Both show prominent narrow Balmer emissions lines.

4. FRACTION OF SNE IIN SHOWING EVIDENCE FOR ASPHERICAL CSM 465

506

507

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

530



Figure 5. Result of the likelihood-ratio test (or chi-square difference test), when modeling the evolution of $r_{\rm BB}$ with a power law and with a flat function. The red dashed line shows the $\Delta\chi^2 = 4$ (i.e. 2σ) limit for one degree of freedom difference: objects with a $\Delta\chi^2$ limit above this line are better modeled by a non-zero power law (and hence show evidence for aspherical CSM), whereas objects below this line are better modeled by a flat line (i.e. show no evidence for aspherical CSM). Applying the criterion from Soumagnac et al. (2019b), six out of nine SNe IIn in our sample show evidence for aspherical CSM.

466 4.1. Application of the asphericity criterion from 467 Soumagnac et al. (2019b)

Assessing whether the blackbody radius $r_{\rm BB}$, shown 468 in Figure 3, is growing or not, is a model selection prob-469 lem, i.e. we need to select between two models the one 470 that best explains the data. Our first model is a power 471 law function of the form $R = R_0 \left(\frac{t}{t_0}\right)^n$, and our second 472 model is a flat function of the form $\hat{R} = R_0$ (i.e. n = 0). 473 Since these models are nested, we can apply a likelihood-474 ratio test (or chi-square difference test) to discriminate 475 between them. In Figure 5, we show the χ^2 difference 476 between the two models derived for all objects. For six 477 out of nine objects, $\Delta \chi^2 > 4$ i.e. the chi-square differ-478 ence indicates that the increasing radius is more likely 479 than the constant radius at a 2σ level. Therefore 66% 480 of the SNe in our sample (taking into account only the 481 SNe to which our analysis is applicable) show evidence 482 483 for aspherical CSM.

484 485

4.2. Correction for the non-uniform volume distribution of the SNe in our sample

In Figure 6, we show the distribution of absolute magnitudes of the SNe IIn of our sample. The overall distribution (in blue) is comparable to previously published absolute luminosity distribution for SNe IIn (see e.g. Figure 17 in Richardson et al. 2014). However, the SNe showing no evidence for a rising $r_{\rm BB}$ are on the faint end of the distribution, i.e. located close to the observer.

If we assume that in reality, both groups of SNe obey 493 the same luminosity - and volume - distribution, the 494 SNe showing no evidence for a rising $r_{\rm BB}$ appear to be 495 under-represented in our sample, a fact that needs to be 496 corrected for in the final probability calculation. A full 497 relative rate calculation, taking into account a broader 498 variety of selection effects, e.g. due to the cadence, the 499 varying limiting magnitude of each image or the extinc-500 tion at the location of the SN, is beyond the scope of 501 this paper. Here, we simply estimate the relative prob-502 ability p_i of finding the i^{th} SN IIn of our sample (SN_i) 503 as504

$$p_{i} = \frac{\frac{1}{V_{max,i}}}{\sum_{j=1}^{9} \frac{1}{V_{max,j}}},$$
(3)

where $V_{max,i}$ is the maximum volume to which SN_i can be observed, under the assumption of a constant limiting magnitude for the survey in the $r, m_{\text{lim}} = 20.5$.

We find that although 66% of the SNe IIn to which our analysis is applicable exhibit a rising $r_{\rm BB}$, the corrected fraction of SNe IIn showing such feature is 35%. As this is a sufficient but not necessary condition for the surrounding CSM to be aspherical, 35% is a lower limit on the fraction of SNe IIn exploding in aspherical CSM.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We presented the first planned Ultra-Violet (UV) survey of the early evolution of type IIn supernovae (SNe IIn). Our sample consists of 12 SNe IIn discovered and observed with the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF) and followed-up in the UV by the Neil Gehrels Swift Observatory. All SNe were also spectroscopically followed-up: we present and release the spectroscopic data we collected.

The UV observations presented in this paper could help shed light on various aspects of the physical picture governing these events. For example, they may be used to better understand the explosion mechanism and the CSM properties (e.g., Ofek et al. 2013b), since the collisionless shock propagating in the CSM after the shock breakout (Ofek et al. 2010) is predicted to radiate most in the UV and X-rays.

Observations of SNe IIn at UV wavelengths provide a better handle on the bolometric luminosity, blackbody radius and blackbody temperature than visible-light observations alone. This may be a reason why the fast rising blackbody radius - which we observe for seven objects out of the twelve of our sample - was only observed in the past in works using UV observations of SNe IIn (de la Rosa et al. 2016; Soumagnac et al. 2019b). This result is in contrast with most previous observations

581

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

505

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611



Figure 6. Absolute magnitude of the twelve SNe IIn of our sample and PTF12glz. The blue histograms correspond to the entire sample and the red square corresponds to PTF 12glz. The star-patterned histograms correspond to the SNe IIn whose radius is better modeled by a flat function than by a power law (i.e. showing no evidence for aspherical CSM). These objects are at the faint end of the distribution, an effect we need to correct for in the calculation of their probability to occur (see \S 4.2). The circle-patterned histograms correspond to the SNe IIn whose radius is better modeled by a power law (i.e. showing evidence for aspherical CSM). The line-patterned histograms correspond to the SNe IIn discussed in \S 3.3.10, i.e. for which no late spectrum was collected and to which our analysis of the CSM geometry does not apply.

using visible-light observations alone, of either a con-540 stant, slowly rising (and then stalling) or even a shrink-541 ing blackbody radius. 542

We used the UV observations to address the following 543 question: "what fraction of SNe IIn explode in aspher-544 ical CSM?". Indeed, although observations of SNe IIn 545 are usually analyzed within the framework of spherically 546 symmetric models of CSM, resolved images of stars un-547 dergoing considerable mass loss as well as well as po-548 larimetry observations, suggest that asphericity is com-549 mon, and should be taken into account for realistic mod-550 eling of these events. Constraining the geometrical dis-551 tribution of the CSM surrounding the explosion is key to 552 understanding the mass-loss processes occurring before 553 the explosion and the nature of the yet-to-be determined 554 progenitors of SNe IIn. Indeed, the presence of aspheri-555 cal CSM around the progenitor is hard to explain by a 556 simple wind, and requires to invoke other scenarios, such 557 as episodic emission, rapid stellar rotation, or binarity. 558

We applied the criterion for asphericity introduced by 559 Soumagnac et al. (2019b), stating that a fast increase 560 of the blackbody effective radius, if observed at times 561 when the CSM surrounding the explosion is still opti-562 cally thick, may be interpreted as an indication that the 563 CSM is aspherical. We find that two thirds of the SNe 564

in our sample show evidence for aspherical CSM. After 565 correcting for selection effects which leads SNe IIn not 566 showing such evidence to be under-represented in our 567 sample, we derive a conservative lower limit of 35% on 568 the fraction of SNe IIn showing evidence for aspherical 569 CSM. 570

As future wide-field transient surveys and the UL-571 TRASAT UV satellite mission (Sagiv et al. 2014) are 572 deployed, more UV observations of interracting SNe will 573 be collected, allowing to build upon this survey and to 574 refine the lower limit derived in this paper. 575

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M.T.S. thanks Charlotte Ward for useful discussions.

This work is based on observations obtained with 576 the Samuel Oschin Telescope 48-inch and the 60-inch 577 Telescope at the Palomar Observatory as part of the 578 Zwicky Transient Facility project. ZTF is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 580 AST-1440341 and a collaboration including Caltech, IPAC, the Weizmann Institute for Science, the Oskar 582 Klein Center at Stockholm University, the University of Maryland, the University of Washington, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron and Humboldt University, Los Alamos National Laboratories, the TANGO Consortium of Taiwan, the University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee, and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories. Operations are conducted by COO, IPAC, and UW.

We acknowledge the use of public data from the Swift data archive.

SED Machine is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1106171

This paper shows observations made with the Nordic Optical Telescope, operated by the Nordic Optical Telescope Scientific Association at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma, Spain, of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias.

Some of the data we present were obtained with AL-FOSC, which is provided by the Instituto de Astrofisica de Andalucia (IAA) under a joint agreement with the University of Copenhagen and NOTSA. The Liverpool Telescope, located on the island of La Palma in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias, is operated by Liverpool John Moores University with financial support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council. The ACAM spectroscopy was obtained as part of OPT/2018B/011.

A.G.-Y. is supported by the EU via ERC grant No. 725161, the Quantum Universe I-Core program, the ISF, the BSF Transformative program, IMOS via ISA and by a Kimmel award.

M.T.S. acknowledges support by a grant from 614 IMOS/ISA, the Benoziyo center for Astrophysics at the 615 Weizmann Institute of Science. This work was in part 616 supported by the Scientific Discovery through Advanced 617 Computing (SciDAC) program funded by U.S. Depart-618 ment of Energy Office of Advanced Scientific Computing 619 Research and the Office of High Energy Physics. This 620 research used resources of the National Energy Research 621

Scientific Computing Center (NERSC), a U.S. Depart-

633

634

622

14

612

613

A. RELEASE OF THE PHOTOMANIP CODE

APPENDIX

The PhotoManip tool, used to calculate the reference time for all the light curves and figures in this paper, is made available at https://github.com/maayane/PhotoManip.

The reference time is calculated as the epochs at which the extrapolated light curve is reaching zero. PhotoManip fits either the r-band or the g-band flux during the rise time with an exponential function of the form

$$f = f_{\max}\{1 - \exp\left[(t_0 - t)/t_c\right]\},$$
(A1)

639 and a power-law of the form

$$f = a(t - t_0)^n , (A2)$$

(where t_0 is the time of zero flux, f_{max} is the maximum flux, t_c is the characteristic rise time of the bolometric light curve). The fit uses the MCMC algorithm emcee(Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013).

REFERENCES

667

- Aretxaga, I., Benetti, S., Terlevich, R. J., et al. 1999,
 MNRAS, 309, 343
- 644 Barnsley, R. M., Smith, R. J., & Steele, I. A. 2012,
- ⁶⁴⁵ Astronomische Nachrichten, 333, 101
- 646 Bellm, E. C., Kulkarni, S. R., Graham, M. J., et al. 2019,
- Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific,131, 018002
- 649 $\,$ Ben-Ami, S., Konidaris, N., Quimby, R., et al. 2012, in
- 650 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers
- (SPIE) Conference Series, Vol. 8446, Ground-based and
 Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy IV, 844686
- Bilinski, C., Smith, N., Williams, G. G., et al. 2017,
 arXiv:1712.03370
- ⁶⁵⁵ Blagorodnova, N., Neill, J. D., Walters, R., et al. 2018,
 ⁶⁵⁶ PASP, 130, 035003
- ⁶⁵⁷ Cardelli, J. A., Clayton, G. C., & Mathis, J. S. 1989, ApJ,
 ⁶⁵⁸ 345, 245
- Cartier, R., Briceno, C., Gomez, D., Espinoza, J., & Estay,
 O. 2019, The Astronomer's Telegram, 12671
- 661 Cenko, S. B., Fox, D. B., Moon, D.-S., et al. 2006, PASP,
- 662 118, 1396

- 663 Chevalier, R. A., & Irwin, C. M. 2012, ApJL, 747, L17
- ⁶⁶⁴ Chugai, N. N., & Danziger, I. J. 1994, MNRAS, 268, 173
- Danziger, I. J., & Kjaer, K., eds. 1991, European Southern
 Observatory Conference and Workshop Proceedings,
 - Vol. 37, Supernova 1987A and other supernovae
- 668 Davenport, J., d. M. W. T. D. 2018, PyDIS,
- 669 https://github.com/TheAstroFactory/pydis,
- 670 doi:10.5281/zenodo.58753
- 671 Davidson, K., & Humphreys, R. M. 1997, ARA&A, 35, 1
- ⁶⁷² Davidson, K., & Humphreys, R. M., eds. 2012,
- Astrophysics and Space Science Library, Vol. 384, Eta
 Carinae and the Supernova Impostors
- de la Rosa, J., Roming, P., Pritchard, T., & Fryer, C. 2016,
 ApJ, 820, 74
- 677 Fabian, A. C., & Terlevich, R. 1996, MNRAS, 280, L5
- Fassia, A., Meikle, W. P. S., Vacca, W. D., et al. 2000,
 MNRAS, 318, 1093
- Fassia, A., Meikle, W. P. S., Chugai, N., et al. 2001,
 MNRAS, 325, 907
- ⁶⁸² Filippenko, A. V. 1997, ARA&A, 35, 309

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

ment of Energy Office of Science User Facility operated under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

E.O.O is grateful for the support by grants from the Israel Science Foundation, Minerva, Israeli Ministry of Science, the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation, the Weizmann Institute and the I-CORE Program of the Planning and Budgeting Committee and the Israel Science Foundation.

C. F gratefully acknowledges support of his research by the Heising-Simons Foundation (#2018-0907).

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D., & Goodman, J. 2013, Publications of the ASP, 125, 306	732 733	Li, WD., Li, C., Filippenko, A. V., & Moran, E. C. 1998, IAUC, 6829
Fremling, C. 2018, Transient Name Server Discovery Report, 2018-1463, 1	734 735	Masci, F. J., Laher, R. R., Rusholme, B., et al. 2019, PASP, 131, 018003
 2019a, Transient Name Server Discovery Report, 2019a414 1 	736 737	Mauerhan, J., Williams, G. G., Smith, N., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 442, 1166
 2019 111, 1 2019b, Transient Name Server Discovery Report, 2019-586, 1 	738 739	Murase, K., Thompson, T. A., Lacki, B. C., & Beacom, J. F. 2011, PhRvD, 84, 043003
Fremling, C., Dugas, A., & Sharma, Y. 2018a, Transient Name Server Classification Report 2018-1221 1	740 741	Murase, K., Thompson, T. A., & Ofek, E. O. 2014, MNRAS, 440, 2528
 —. 2018b, Transient Name Server Classification Report, 2018-1870 1 	742 743	Nordin, J., Brinnel, V., Giomi, M., et al. 2019a, Transient Name Server Discovery Report, 2019-74, 1
 2010 1010, 1 2019a, Transient Name Server Classification Report, 2019-329, 1 	744 745	—. 2019b, Transient Name Server Discovery Report, 2019-464, 1
 2019 025, 1 2019b, Transient Name Server Classification Report, 2019-490, 1 	746 747	—. 2019c, Transient Name Server Discovery Report, 2019-502, 1
 2019-430, 1 2019c, Transient Name Server Classification Report, 2019-581, 1 	748 749	Nyholm, A., Sollerman, J., Tartaglia, L., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1906.05812
Fremling, C., & Sharma, Y. 2018, Transient Name Server Classification Report, 2018, 762, 1	750 751	Ofek, E. O., Rabinak, I., Neill, J. D., et al. 2010, ApJ, 724, 1396
Fremling, C., Sollerman, J., Taddia, F., et al. 2016, A&A,	752 753	Ofek, E. O., Sullivan, M., Cenko, S. B., et al. 2013a, Nature, 494, 65
Gal-Yam, A. 2017, Observational and Physical	754 755	Ofek, E. O., Fox, D., Cenko, S. B., et al. 2013b, ApJ, 763, 42
Gal-Yam, A., & Leonard, D. C. 2009, Nature, 458, 865	756	Ofek, E. O., Zoglauer, A., Boggs, S. E., et al. 2014, ApJ, 781–42
Gal-Yam, A., Leonard, D. C., Fox, D. B., et al. 2007, ApJ, 656, 372	758	Oke, J. B., Cohen, J. G., Carr, M., et al. 1995, PASP, 107, 275
Gal-Yam, A., Arcavi, I., Ofek, E. O., et al. 2014, Nature, 509, 471	759	Patat, F., Taubenberger, S., Benetti, S., Pastorello, A., & Hamutummuran, A. 2011, A&A, 527, L6
Gall, C., Hjorth, J., Watson, D., et al. 2014, Nature, 511, 326	761	Payne, A. V., Tucker, M. A., Do, A., Shappee, B. J., & Huber, M. F. 2010, Transient Name Server Classification
Gehrels, N., Chincarini, G., Giommi, P., et al. 2004, ApJ, 611, 1005	763 764	Report, 2019-97, 1
Graham, M. J., Kulkarni, S. R., Bellm, E. C., et al. 2019, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1902.01945	765 766	Skillen, K. 2018, Transient Name Server Classification Banart 2018, 1052, 1
Hamuy, M., Folatelli, G., Morrell, N. I., et al. 2006,Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific,118, 2	767 768 769	Prentice, S. J., Maguire, K., Skillen, K., Magee, M. R., & Clark, P. 2019, Transient Name Server Classification
Hoffman, J. L., Leonard, D. C., Chornock, R., et al. 2008, ApJ, 688, 1186	770 771	Report, 2019-602, 1 Rahmer, G., Smith, R., Velur, V., et al. 2008, in Prog. SPIE, Vol. 7014, Cround based and Airborne
Katz, B., Sapir, N., & Waxman, E. 2011, arXiv:1106.1898	773	Instrumentation for Astronomy II, 70144Y
Khazov, D., Yaron, O., Gal-Yam, A., et al. 2016, ApJ, 818, $\ 3$	774 775	Reilly, E., Maund, J. R., Baade, D., et al. 2017, MNRAS, 470, 1491
Kiewe, M., Gal-Yam, A., Arcavi, I., et al. 2012, ApJ, 744, 10	776 777	Richardson, D., Jenkins, Robert L., I., Wright, J., & Maddox, L. 2014, AJ, 147, 118
Leonard, D. C., Filippenko, A. V., Barth, A. J., & Matheson, T. 2000, ApJ, 536, 239	778 779	Rigault, M., Neill, J. D., Blagorodnova, N., et al. 2019, A&A, 627, A115
Levesque, E. M., Stringfellow, G. S., Ginsburg, A. G., Bally, J., & Keeney, B. A. 2014, AJ, 147, 23	780 781	Sagiv, I., Gal-Yam, A., Ofek, E. O., et al. 2014, AJ, 147, 79 Schlafly, E. F., & Finkbeiner, D. P. 2011, ApJ, 737, 103

- 782 Schlegel, E. M. 1990, MNRAS, 244, 269
- 783 Schlegel, E. M., & Petre, R. 2006, ApJ, 646, 378
- 784 Smith, N. 2014, ARA&A, 52, 487
- 785 Smith, N., Chornock, R., Li, W., et al. 2008, ApJ, 686, 467
- ⁷⁸⁶ Smith, N., Mauerhan, J. C., & Prieto, J. L. 2014, MNRAS,
 ⁷⁸⁷ 438, 1191
- ⁷⁸⁸ Smith, N., Kilpatrick, C. D., Mauerhan, J. C., et al. 2017,
 ⁷⁸⁹ MNRAS, 466, 3021
- Soumagnac, M. T., Ganot, N., Gal-yam, A., et al. 2019a,
 arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1907.11252
- ⁷⁹² Soumagnac, M. T., Ofek, E. O., Gal-yam, A., et al. 2019b,
 ⁷⁹³ ApJ, 872, 141
- ⁷⁹⁴ Stathakis, R. A., & Sadler, E. M. 1991, MNRAS, 250, 786
- ⁷⁹⁵ Stoll, R., Prieto, J. L., Stanek, K. Z., et al. 2011, ApJ, 730,
 ⁷⁹⁶ 34
- ⁷⁹⁷ Taddia, F., Stritzinger, M. D., Sollerman, J., et al. 2013,
- ⁷⁹⁸ A&A, 555, A10

- 799 Tonry, J., Stalder, B., Denneau, L., et al. 2018a, Transient
- Name Server Discovery Report, 2018-695, 1
- . 2018b, Transient Name Server Discovery Report,
 2018-1186, 1
- Tucker, M. A., Payne, A. V., Do, A., Huber, M. E., &
 Shappee, B. J. 2019, Transient Name Server
 Classification Report, 2019-514, 1
- Turatto, M., Cappellaro, E., Danziger, I. J., et al. 1993,
 MNRAS, 262, 128
- Valenti, S., Sand, D., Pastorello, A., et al. 2014, MNRAS,
 438, L101
- van Dyk, S. D., Weiler, K. W., Sramek, R. A., & Panagia,
 N. 1993, ApJL, 419, L69
- ⁸¹² Wang, L., & Wheeler, J. C. 2008, ARA&A, 46, 433
- Williams, C. L., Panagia, N., Van Dyk, S. D., et al. 2002,
 ApJ, 581, 396
- Yaron, O., Perley, D. A., Gal-Yam, A., et al. 2017, Nature
 Physics, 13, 510
- 817 Zackay, B., Ofek, E. O., & Gal-Yam, A. 2016, ApJ, 830, 27