

TMAS has two working modes:

1) Two CMOS cameras: Neo sCMOS 5.5 Mpx, 6.5 microm/pix. The first option.

[http://www.andor.com/scientific\\_cameras/neo\\_scmos\\_camera/](http://www.andor.com/scientific_cameras/neo_scmos_camera/)

2) 1 CMOS camera and an iXon EMCCD Andor Camera. Model 888. 13 microm/px.

[http://www.andor.com/scientific\\_cameras/ixon\\_emccd\\_camera/](http://www.andor.com/scientific_cameras/ixon_emccd_camera/)

The design should consider, if possible, both cases.

Similarities:

Both cases share the same ADC (atmospheric dispersion corrector).

Both cases have two independent cooling systems.

Both cases have two filter wheels.

Both cases have two cameras.

Differences:

In case 1), the cameras are of the same type and manufacturer (CMOS). In case 2) they are different.

-----

In the case 2), the Andor camera will be the same as in the case of Robo-AO. Therefore, the electronic design and mechanical design could be the same, as for instance:

- \* Ribbon connectors,

- \* Network power box (Ernest suggests following the same scheme as he did for Robo-AO)

- \* cabling,

- \* the cooling system.

Notice in TMAS there is no laser like in Robo-AO, or adaptive optic system. This is done by P3K. This simplifies the electronic scheme for the rack.

Moreover, since Robo-AO has two main cameras: Xeva and Andor, it might be the case that RObo-Ao's design is highly recyclable for TMAS in the two modes described above.

-----

For the CMOS camera:

Connectors for the camera

Cooling system: Cannot be colder than -40 C.

For the ADC:

Degrees of freedom: horizontal and tilt. To be specified in brief.

Controllers: to be specified in brief. Jack may find the sort we need/are?

For the 2 filter wheels:

The model is:

-----